

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013

Survey


Report based on the answers following
the questionnaire sent 25 January with
follow-up reminder 11 February

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

- The questionnaire was sent to all 158 registered participants
- Maximum number of possible responses was 136, as 140 attended the seminar and the 4 coordination group members were not expected to answer
- We received 60 responses – meaning a response percentage of 44


NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Overall rate of the seminar – 60 responses




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Relevance of the seminar topic




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Usefulness of information presented




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Quality of presentations




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Seminar organization: scheduling and timing




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Seminar organization: facility / venue




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

Seminar organization: handouts during the seminar




NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

How likely are you to attend future NKS seminars



NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

How did you hear about the seminar?



NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

- Provide more time for questions, please. What happened to the video recording? It would be nice to have this available. Thank you. (T)
- More time for discussion had been good, between the presentations. (T)
- On day 2, some more discussion time would have been good, and in particular a final questions and discussion session (preferably 30 minutes but even 15 minutes would have been very good). (T)

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

- Time keeping should be improved. Speakers should not be allowed to speak overtime, and enough time should be allocated for discussions in the agenda. (T)
- The sessions were quite heavy with several 2 hours sessions with 30 min long presentations. Specially the 30 min long presentations seemed too heavy (20 min would have been enough for most). I was hoping for more presentations on what is going to be done at Swedish NPPs as Fukushima actions. It was a bit disappointing that this side was not covered so much (I understand that it must have been difficult to contact companies on this topic, and the companies are not so open on this topic at this time when the plans are not totally fixed. But anyhow excellent seminar, thank you very much! (T)

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

- Perhaps fewer and a bit longer presentations? (T)
- More time should be reserved for questions. Max length of presentations (30 min + 15 min for questions/discussion). (T)
- Website with presentations could be made available already during the seminar. (T)
- Everybody should use a microphone, always! (S)
- Some minor problem with microphones and peoples willingness to use them. (S)

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

- A few commentators gave surprisingly superficial answers. (V)
- There should have been something about the consequences outside the fence. We know from Tjernobyl that such consequences may be large and also require R&D in advance. (V)
- Naturally, the Seminar was too Nordic-oriented. Given the internationalization of nuclear safety, perhaps NKS could consider a better balance of Nordic vis-à-vis global interests. (V)

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey

- I think the bridging between R and B was fine. Maybe a little too much on Stress test? In general good presentations. The queing in breakes etc should have been avoided. Ok reception in the afternoon. (V)
- We will provide a proposal concerning a matter that is missing in NKS' list of issues, namely the risk of poorly performing repositories for disposal of radioactive waste. (V)
- It was an excellent seminar. I would love to join again next time. Thanks for organizing such a good seminar. (V)

NKS Fukushima Seminar 2013 - Survey



Conclusion from the survey – some lessons learned:

- Handouts must be improved – we should consider the logistics in connection with the seminar opening.
- We should make even more intensive use of newsletters before the registration deadline and the seminar itself.
- We should consider more time in the seminar program for questions and discussions.